

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/870,147 05/30/2001		Toshiaki Tsuboi	10746/26	8853	
26646	7590	09/12/2005		EXAMINER	
KENYON &		N	PASS, NATALIE		
ONE BROADWAY NEW YORK, NY 10004				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
_	,		3626		
			DATE MAILED: 09/12/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	n No.	Applicant(s)					
	Office Action Comments	09/870,14	7	TSUBOI ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit					
		Natalie A.	<u> </u>	3626					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the	cover sheet with the c	correspondence ac	idress				
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RECHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by streply received by the Office later than three months after the med patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF TH R 1.136(a). In no eve i. rriod will apply and wil tatute, cause the appl	IS COMMUNICATION Int, however, may a reply be tire Expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the interior in the interior i	N. nely filed the mailing date of this of D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,				
Status									
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 3	10 May 2001							
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.								
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the									
٧,۵	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 Q.G. 213.								
	·	o. mr. parto da	27.0, 1000 0.21 11, 10	0.0.210.					
Dispositi	on of Claims								
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are rejected.								
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	nd/or election re	equirement.						
Applicati	on Papers								
9)	The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.							
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	_	objected to by the	Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the								
	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International But See the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been nents have been priority docume reau (PCT Rule	n received. n received in Applicati ents have been receive e 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National	Stage				
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔲 Inforr	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB r No(s)/Mail Date		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate	O-152)				

Art Unit: 3626

DETAILED ACTION

Notice to Applicant

1. This communication is in response to the application filed 30 May 2001. Claims 1-17 are pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

2. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requires of this title.

3. Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

The basis of this rejection is set forth in a two-prong test of:

- (1) whether the invention is within the technological arts; and
- (2) whether the invention produces a useful, concrete, and tangible result.

For a claimed invention to be statutory, the claimed invention must be within the technological arts. Mere ideas in the abstract (i.e., abstract idea, law of nature, natural phenomena) that do not apply, involve, use, or advance the technological arts fail to promote the "progress of science and the useful arts" (i.e., the physical sciences as opposed to social sciences, for example) and therefore are found to be non-statutory subject matter. For a process claim to pass muster, the recited process must somehow apply, involve, use, or advance the technological arts.

Art Unit: 3626

In the present case, claim 17 only recites abstract ideas. The recited claim detailing the steps of obtaining data, obtaining a scenario, preparing a schedule, and outputting information does not apply, involve, use, or advance the technological arts since all of the recited steps can be performed in the mind of the user or by use of a pencil and paper. These steps only constitute different parts of a method for supporting health promotion.

Furthermore, for a claimed invention to be statutory, the claimed invention must produce a useful, concrete, and tangible result. In the present case, as recited in claim 17, the claimed invention produces a health promotion schedule (i.e., repeatable) that can be used in promoting a healthy lifestyle to a client (i.e., useful and tangible).

Although the recited process produces a useful, concrete, and tangible result, since the claimed invention, as a whole, is not within the technological arts as explained above, claim 17 is deemed to be directed to non-statutory subject matter.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1-2, 7, 9-10, 15, and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Strecher, U.S. Patent Number 5, 207, 580.

Art Unit: 3626

(A) As per claim 17, Strecher teaches a method of supporting health promotion for preventing diseases caused by lifestyles and for promoting and maintaining health by using a health promotion practitioner support apparatus, said method comprising the steps of:

obtaining data on lifestyles (Strecher; column 2, lines 12-30) and "motives cited by the user" (reads on "a level of readiness for change of a client") (Strecher; column 4, lines 22-26);

obtaining a scenario which includes health promotion information and health promotion timing for each of levels of readiness for change (Strecher; column 2, line 53 to column 3, line 5, column 4, lines 5-31); Examiner interprets Strecher's teachings of collecting data "about the pattern and history of the health-related behavior" as a "scenario."

preparing a health promotion schedule of said client from said scenario and said data, and outputting said health promotion schedule (Strecher; column 3, lines 5-12, column 3, line 61 to column 4, line 3); and

outputting "feedback" (reads on "health promotion information for said client according to operation to said health promotion schedule") (Strecher; column 3, lines 5-12, column 3, line 61 to column 4, line 3).

Although Strecher does not explicitly teach "a scenario" it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize or "incorporate" such information "into the algorithm" with the motivations of "initiat[ing] the health-related behavior change process" and "to create tailored advice" using data collected about the pattern and history of the health-related behavior (Strecher; column 4, lines 5-27).

Art Unit: 3626

- (B) Apparatus claim 1 repeats the subject matter of claim 17, respectively, as a set of elements rather than a series of steps. As the underlying processes of claim 17 have been shown to be obvious in view of the teachings of Strecher in the above rejections of claim 17, it is readily apparent that the system disclosed by Strecher includes the apparatus to perform these functions. As such, these limitations are rejected of the same reasons given above for method claim 17, and incorporated herein.
- (C) Claim 9 differs from method claim 17 by reciting a "computer readable medium storing program code..." in the preamble and "program code" recited in a "means plus function" format in the limitations. As per these limitations, Strecher clearly discloses his invention to be implemented on a computer readable medium storing program code (Strecher; column 4, lines 58-60, column 5, lines 24-29). Furthermore, as the method of step claim 17 has been shown to be disclosed or obvious by Strecher, it is readily apparent that the "means" to accomplish those method steps is obvious in view of the prior art. As such, the limitations recited in claim 9 are rejected for the same reasons given for method claim 17 and incorporated herein
- (D) As per claims 2, 10, Strecher teaches an apparatus as analyzed and discussed in claims 1 and 9 above,

said part and said program code means for obtaining data comprising: a part and program code means for outputting information used for interviewing said client (Strecher; column 2, lines 39-57); and

Art Unit: 3626

a part and program code means for receiving responses of said client (Strecher; column 2, lines 24-29).

(E) As per claims 7, 15, Strecher teaches an apparatus and medium as analyzed and discussed in claim 1 above

further comprising: a part storing plurality of kinds of health promotion information, said plurality of kinds of health promotion information including interview health promotion information, telephone health promotion information, and documents to be "provided to the user" (reads on "sent physically or by electronic mail") (Strecher; column 2, lines 13-24, 60-62, column 4, lines 5-26, 34-48);

and wherein said part outputting health promotion information outputs said health promotion information by means suitable for one of said kinds of health promotion information (Strecher; column 2, lines 13-24, 60-62, column 4, lines 5-26, 34-48, column 5, lines 27-38).

- 6. Claims 3-4 and 11-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Strecher, U.S. Patent Number 5, 207, 580 as applied to claims 2 and 10 above, and further in view of Rieger et al. article: "Development of an Instrument To Assess Readiness to Recover in Anorexia Nervosa." 2000. URL: http://www3.interscience.wiley.com/cgi-bin/fulltext/74000261/PDFSTART, hereinafter known as Rieger.
- (A) As per claims 3, 11 Strecher teaches an apparatus and medium as analyzed and discussed in claims 2 and 10 above.

Art Unit: 3626

Strecher fails to explicitly disclose

said part outputting information used for interviewing said client comprising:

a part outputting question information for checking said level of readiness for change;

and

a part determining said level of readiness for change according to a response to said question information and determining information to be output hereafter according to said level of readiness for change; wherein said health promotion practitioner support apparatus determines said health promotion information according to responses of said client.

However, the above features are well-known in the art, as evidenced by Rieger.

In particular, Rieger teaches

said part outputting information used for interviewing said client comprising:

a part outputting question information for checking said level of readiness for change (Rieger; Abstract, page 389, last paragraph to page 391, first paragraph); and

a part determining said level of readiness for change according to a response to said question information and determining information to be output hereafter according to said level of readiness for change (Rieger; Abstract, page 389, last paragraph to page 391, first paragraph); wherein said health promotion practitioner support apparatus determines said health promotion information according to responses of said client (Rieger; Abstract, page 389, last paragraph to page 391, first paragraph).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the apparatus and medium of Strecher to include these limitations, as taught

Art Unit: 3626

by Rieger, with the motivations of increasing the effectiveness of interventions designed to enhance motivation since "readiness to change predicts aspects of behavioral and attitudinal change" (Rieger; page 395, paragraph 4, page 388, paragraph 4).

(B) As per claims 4, 12, Strecher teaches an apparatus and medium as analyzed and discussed above.

Strecher fails to explicitly disclose wherein said levels of readiness for change include an unconcerned stage, a precontemplation stage, a contemplation stage and a preparation stage.

However, Rieger teaches wherein said levels of readiness for change include an unconcerned stage (Examiner interprets Rieger's teaching of "no thought of changing" (Rieger; page 389, last paragraph) as reading on "unconcerned stage"), a precontemplation stage, a contemplation stage and a preparation stage (Rieger; page 389, last paragraph to page 391, first paragraph).

The motivations for combining the respective teachings of Strecher and Rieger are as given in the rejection of claims 3, 11 above, and incorporated herein.

- 7. Claims 5-6, 8, 13-14, 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Strecher, U.S. Patent Number 5, 207, 580 as applied to claims 1 and 9 above, and further in view of Douglass et al., U.S. Patent Number 6, 039, 688.
- (A) As per claims 5, 13, Strecher teaches an apparatus and medium as analyzed and discussed above.

Art Unit: 3626

wherein: said health promotion schedule is displayed as a screen of a calendar format on a display part of said health promotion practitioner support apparatus or on a terminal which can access said health promotion practitioner support apparatus (Strecher; Figure 1, Figure 5, Figure 5, column 2, lines 53-59, column 6, lines 1-12).

Strecher fails to explicitly disclose

a number of clients is displayed in each day of the calendar format if said clients should receive health promotion on said day, and health promotion details are displayed for each of said clients by selecting said day.

However, the above features are well-known in the art, as evidenced by Douglass.

In particular, Douglass teaches

a number of clients is displayed in each day of the calendar format if said clients should receive health promotion on said day, and health promotion details are displayed for each of said clients by selecting said day (Douglass; Figure 60, column 8, lines 55-64, column 9, line 50 to column 10, line 60, column 18, lines 26-64, column 21, lines 41-52).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the apparatus and medium of Strecher to include these limitations, as taught by Douglass, with the motivations of providing a therapeutic program that could effectively motivate patients to modify their behavior and change their lifestyles to prevent or recover from ailments, and to enable physicians and their staffs to receive frequent feedback regarding patients' compliance with their programs (Douglass; column 1, line 61 to column 2, line 2).

Art Unit: 3626

As per claims 6, 8, 14, 16, Strecher and Douglass teach an apparatus and medium (B)

as analyzed and discussed in claims 1, 5, 9, 13 above

wherein data for forming said health promotion schedule includes histories of provided

health promotion (Strecher; column 2, lines 42-52), and further comprising:

a part obtaining results of medical examination or tests (Douglass; column 2, lines 35-

39); and

a part selecting clients for preventing a specific disease by using said results (Douglass;

column 2, lines 35-46).

The motivations for combining the respective teachings of Strecher and Douglass are as

given in the rejection of claims 5, 13 above, and incorporated herein.

Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to Applicant's

disclosure. The cited but not applied references, Clark et al., U.S. Patent Application Publication

Number 2004/0215491, O'Donnell, U.S. Patent Application Publication Number 2003/0027116,

Holland, U.S. Patent Number 6, 607, 483, Summerell et al., U.S. Patent Number 5, 937, 387, and

Silver, U.S. Patent Number 6, 269, 339 teach the environment of promoting health.

9. Any response to this action should be mailed to:

> **Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** Washington D.C. 20231

Art Unit: 3626

or faxed to:

(571) 273-8300.

For informal or draft communications, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT" on the front page of the communication and do NOT sign the communication.

After Final communications should be labeled "Box AF."

- 10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Natalie A. Pass whose telephone number is (571) 272-6774. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 9:00 AM to 6:30 PM. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Fridays.
- 11. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph Thomas, can be reached at (571) 272-6776. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-3600.
- Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Natalie A. Pass

September 6, 2005

JOSEPH THOMAS

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 11

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600